

FREE CHRISTIAN COMMONWEALTH.

Volume III.

LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1868.

Number 40.

Chicago Correspondence.

CHICAGO, JUNE 19, 1868.

Dear Commonwealth: One sees a great deal in the religious papers, hereabouts, concerning the compact, decided little resolution of the Reformed Presbyterian Synod, at Pittsburgh, suspending G. H. Stuart for singing other than the shapeless disintegrations known as Rouse's Version of the Psalms. The sixteen ministers and twelve elders have each received their *pro rata* of abuse for voting this very Christian resolution:

"Resolved, That G. H. Stuart be and is hereby suspended from his office and from membership in this church until he acknowledge his error in the premises and submit to the laws and authority of this church, and that his seat in Synod, in consequence, be declared vacant."

However these sticklers for antique psalmody may have violated the nice principles of equity and liberality, to say nothing of Christ-like love and charity, it is apparent that hundreds who are denouncing this Reformed Synod as "bringing the name of the Christian Church and of ecclesiastical discipline into contempt" by its action, are strangely forgetful of similar little incongruities in their own lives.

Not many months since a revival was in progress in a town in Illinois, not very far from this city. The church which was the scene of the work of grace, was one which prided itself upon "loyalty" and "liberality" and other "tys." Several democrats exhibited a change of heart and expressed a desire for newness of life. The thing appeared so entirely impossible to the officers of the church, that they wrote for advice to the editor of a Chicago religious newspaper, somewhat after this manner: "Please tell us what we had better do. A good many democrats have shown signs of regeneration and wish to unite with us. But it is known among us that they have been in the habit and are still in the habit of reading the *La Crosse Democrat* and the *New York Day Book*. How must we treat them?"

The editor counselled them to exhibit a spirit of Christian love, but he did think it was rather *against the profanity of their convictions*, if they read the *La Crosse Democrat* or persisted in glancing over the *Day Book*. What was done with these unfortunate Democrats I have not learned, but they were probably instructed in the political preferences of the Deity—which, according to this church and hundreds of others in this section of the country, are *radical*—precisely on a par with the G. H. Stuart affair.

Reformed Presbyterians say, "let us sing," and straitway they sing some of Rouse's choice stanzas:

"As cursing, he likes clothes put on,
Into his howse, and, into his bones
Like water, and, into his oil, down let it go
At eveng' let them return,
Making great noise and sound,
Like to a dog, and often walk
About the city round."

When they me saw, they from me fled;
E'en so I am forgot,
As men are out of mind when dead;
I like a broken pot."

We would rather sing the inspirations of Watts, or Toplady, or Bonar. The Reformed say they are heathen. Loyalty says "Pray for Congress; pray *against* that wicked wretch, the President; burn all Democratic papers; read the organs of the republican party. Thus you may be saved." Over their churches they inscribe, "No Democrats admitted within these walls." Verily the oft repeated tale wherein glass houses and stones acquire conspicuity, is rehearsed! But to turn from subjects concerning which Moses himself might have warmed with indignation, I remember that we have, among the numerous strangers that throng the city, the Rev. Mr. Kline, of Meridian, Miss. He has been in your city, and I believe his mission met with much success from Louisville churches. His coming to Chicago, was after a manner, an experiment. It was my pleasure to hear him preach, two weeks since. I remember very vividly the earnest sermon wherein the man was hidden in the revealed glory of the Christ of sinners, and the irresistible appeal in behalf of the far off struggling church, whose brief and heroic history moistened many an eye. Mr. Kline has met with some considerable success in raising funds for the important church which he represents. In addition to donations of money, he has succeeded in securing a bell for the church at Meridian. It is the impression of all who have heard Mr. Kline preach, that he is a chosen vessel of the Lord, and for a new, rapidly growing railroad town, he is just the right

man. Many a prayer follows his earnest efforts.

The Berea College people of Kentucky are in Chicago seeking funds for their Institution. A circular is being distributed with heavy endorsements of the scheme, by Dr. Monfort and others, who represent the Rev. John S. Fee, as a sort of martyr in the cause of colored equality. I have thought that our Chicago philanthropists would do much better if they would transport Berea College from the Blue-Grass region to Third or Fourth avenues, in this city, and exercise a beneficent interest in the spiritual and temporal welfare of the thousands of blacks who have settled here as permanent residents. But the bogus article gropes after the dissolving far away mirage, and is deaf, dumb and sightless to contiguous need.

MICONIUS.

The Strategies of the Reunion Radicals.

Our brethren of all the prudence, piety, and fraternal affection, whose delicate nerves could not endure the harsh tones of the Declaration and Testimony men, and who sought rather to tame the Radical tiger by pampering and petting him and letting him run loose in the ecclesiastical garden, seem still to be having a hard time of it.

A correspondent of the *Presbyterian* vented to complain of even the great New York reunion meeting, under the manipulations of such dignified metropolitans as Drs. Prime, Hall & Co. in the following terms—almost as plain spoken, if not "vituperative" as the *True Presbyterian* used to be thought in the earlier stages of the contest:

Messrs. Editors—You have seen,

ere this, glowing accounts of a reunion meeting, held on Sabbath evening, the 14th inst., in the church of the Rev. Dr. Hall, fifth Avenue, New York. I wish the opportunity, as a true friend of union, to state to the Old-school Presbyterian public, through your paper, what my objections are to the manner in which that meeting was engineered, from first to last, wholly in the interest of the Basis of the Joint Committee, and how entirely the real merits of so great and solemn a matter as that of the present Basis, on which Old and New-school are to unite, were kept back from the whole congregation.

The notices calling the meeting were issued in the envelopes of the *New York Observer*, and the resolutions offered at the meeting, were offered by the Rev. S. I. Prime, D. D. The public call was a call inviting the "ministers and members" of the Old and New-school to meet together for a specific object.

That object was not to make speeches upon the desirability of re-union, but it was simply and purely "to meet together for conference and prayer in relation to the proposed Basis of Reunion." This was explicitly stated in the call. A company of us went to the church at the time appointed. We were anxious to hear what the brethren might say as to the "basis," and, if opportunity presented, to state fairly before the public our objections to the present Basis, the true position of the minority in the Old-school General Assembly, and to ask the people whether they themselves preferred a Basis that would re-open every element of past discussion, or a Basis that would avoid such an unpleasant result. In other words, being favourable to the "Philadelphia Basis," and opposed to the Joint Committee's Basis, we desired briefly to state our preference, and let the Presbyterians public see what was the true nature of the issue between the parties in the Old-school Assembly. But our hope was vain! Our expectation was disappointed! To our amazement and surprise, the order of the meeting was all arranged beforehand, in the interest of the Basis held in the College Chapel yesterday. The spacious hall was crowded to its utmost with an intelligent auditory that came annually from all parts of the State to witness the commencement exercises of the College that has been planted in their prayers, watered with their tears, cherished and sustained by their energies, and of course they love so well!

He came to the Gallatin and Shiloh churches in 1822, preaching to both churches and teaching school. Here he married Nancy Donnell. Three daughters were the result of this marriage, the only remaining one being now a member of the Gallatin church. His wife dying, he afterwards married Sarah E. Crockett. A short biographical sketch written by himself now says: "Straitened circumstances now drove him to teaching school in the city of Nashville. This interfered with ministerial duties and crippled his usefulness." This is a sad commentary to be made on any church. During a long residence in and near the city of Nashville, he laboring as opportunity offered, he endeared himself to almost every church in the Presbytery. During the war his house was burned under the plea of military necessity. Through the sufferings consequent upon all the difficulties and privations of the war his health gave way, and he moved to Gallatin with his family as a refuge from calamity.

He moved amongst us a patriarch, counseling, advising, encouraging, and teaching; he was a blessing to many even in his last declining years.

Early in the spring his health began to fail and his frame grew feeble. When he finally went to bed, the most distressing feature of his illness (softening of the brain) was that he could not talk. But he had said all to the writer of this and to his family that was necessary to give the highest assurance that his mind was tranquil, his faith firm. He said he was waiting for the Master to call him. After that he could answer questions only in monosyllables, and he professed to

union! Not a syllable was uttered to let the audience know that the very Old-school speakers of the evening, to wit, Rev. Drs. Shedd and Prime, Rev. Mr. Sutphen, and ruling elder Carter, all themselves voted in General Assembly that the Committee's Basis was not their preference!

Not a word to let the people know that, with the exception of elder Carter, who had gone to Harrisburg, all the Old School speakers of the evening voted for Dr. Hall's paper in the Assembly—a paper passed unanimously and which is in direct contradiction to the obnoxious doctrinal clause in the first article of the Joint Committee's Basis! Nothing of an open, frank, and fair exposition before the people of the real situation of things in the Church! Only a general appeal for the union, an approval of the Basis, and a reference to some good men who looked suspiciously on the movement, but who would all come right after a time! Such was the method of securing an apparent public recognition of the Committee's Basis by the people at large! Such was the meeting for "conference"! One of the special features of this Sabbath evening arrangement, was the *appeal* of Dr. Prime that the Presbyteries should "see to it" that the "right men" were sent up to the General Assembly, to be held in New York next year. *"The right men!* What does this indicate?

The minority are as conscientiously in favor of re-union, on a true, righteous, consistent and solid Basis, as are any of the majority. It is not true to represent to the contrary. But they are not in favor of the Basis as it stands. Even the majority said they preferred another Basis, and telegraphed it to the New School Assembly. They went further. They made their own position ridiculous in the eyes of both Old and New School, by passing Dr. Hall's resolution after they had passed the basis as it stands. Why, then, this mode of pressing through union upon a Basis which does not command the hearty confidence and preference, above all other Bases, of even its own advocates?

On those who "get up" such meetings as the one held in New York, rests the responsibility of swift coming years of contention and trouble. Counter-meetings must be called—not to re-union, but to that most unsatisfactory platform, the *Basis as it stands*. Honesty, and justice, and religion together demand that the truth shall be let out, and the people know the real merits of the case. As for ourselves, we are sure they will reject it, and vote for the Philadelphia Basis *ten to one*, and with all their heart. If not, and the campaign begun, with the *New York Observer* as its ally, is carried on in the same way, another division is as certain as the rising of the sun. *TRUE FRIENDS OF UNION.*

Death of Rev. John R. Bain.
GALLATIN, TENN., JUNE 22, 1868.
Messrs. Editors: Rev. John R. Bain died in this place last Friday night, and his family request that you publish the following sketch of his life, which may be made the basis of a biographical sketch by Presbytery.

He was born in Mecklenburg Co., N. C., June 12, 1792; graduated at Washington College March 25, 1818; licensed to preach Sept. 12, 1819, in the 28th year of his age. He died June 19, 1868, being 76 years of age having preached the gospel for 49 years.

He came to the Gallatin and Shiloh churches in 1822, preaching to both churches and teaching school. Here he married Nancy Donnell. Three daughters were the result of this marriage, the only remaining one being now a member of the Gallatin church. His wife dying, he afterwards married Sarah E. Crockett. A short biographical sketch written by himself now says: "Straitened circumstances now drove him to teaching school in the city of Nashville. This interfered with ministerial duties and crippled his usefulness." This is a sad commentary to be made on any church. During a long residence in and near the city of Nashville, he laboring as opportunity offered, he endeared himself to almost every church in the Presbytery. During the war his house was burned under the plea of military necessity. Through the sufferings consequent upon all the difficulties and privations of the war his health gave way, and he moved to Gallatin with his family as a refuge from calamity.

He moved amongst us a patriarch, counseling, advising, encouraging, and teaching; he was a blessing to many even in his last declining years.

Early in the spring his health began to fail and his frame grew feeble. When he finally went to bed, the most distressing feature of his illness (softening of the brain) was that he could not talk. But he had said all to the writer of this and to his family that was necessary to give the highest assurance that his mind was tranquil, his faith firm. He said he was waiting for the Master to call him. After that he could answer questions only in monosyllables, and he professed to

have the presence of God with him all the time. His sufferings were not very severe, and when he died it was as the child going to sleep, so gently did his spirit pass away.

Let all the church pray for his bereaved family. His blessed spirit is with the Saviour.

As a preacher, he was clear, logical, and terse. As a writer, truthful, logical, clear. As a man, an Israelite in whom there was no guile." The last few years of his life may be embodied in one sentence: "I know that my Redeemer liveth." There are few men who arrive at any clearer assurance of faith. "Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his."

The religious papers of the Southern Presbyterian church please copy.

An Example which it would be well to imitate

—, June 23, 1868.

Dear Sirs: Inclosed find three dollars, my subscription to the *Free Christian Commonwealth* for another year. As a general thing I read everything in the *Commonwealth*, and I must say for two or three weeks past I have not read it with a good conscience.

1. Because I have seen my name on a printed slip showing I am in arrears for one month. When I read my paper, however good the article, conscience annoys me with the thought—"You are reading that for which you have not paid anything."

2. That little slip of paper is a constant dun for the money, and I never allow a man to dun me for money if I can possibly avoid it.

3. I turn to the *inside* of the paper to keep the little slip from my sight, and lo!—I find every week a little editorial saying—"We are in need of funds;" and again conscience suggests "the meanness of enjoying one's self in reading a paper that cost the editor his time and money and for which not a cent has been paid, he virtually borrowing money and paying a cent on it to accommodate my carelessness or meanness."

4. I have a family around me and friends who visit me; they read the *Commonwealth*, and, of course, I wish them to read it, but if they do, they must see the little slip showing I am in debt, and conscience brings to mind the force of example to my family and community in withholding my dues.

5. I cannot read your paper any longer without paying for it. I read my Bible and it says, "Owe no man anything." Conscience says again, "that means a newspaper publisher as well as any other man."

For these reasons you must do away with that slip on my paper; or I must do away with my conscience, or I must continue a species of dishonesty that as a Christian man I cannot indulge in, or I must send you my subscription; so here is so much to "the conscience fund."

Yours in hope of relief.

For the Free Christian Commonwealth.
Westminster College.
FULTON, MO., JUNE 26, 1868.

The closing exercises of this institution for the present year were held in the College Chapel yesterday. The spacious hall was crowded to its utmost with an intelligent auditory that came annually from all parts of the State to witness the commencement exercises of the College that has been planted in their prayers, watered with their tears, cherished and sustained by their energies, and of course they love so well!

Christ's willingness to save; Christ's power to save; the dread alternative under conviction of sin, still clinging to the sins he felt he could not give up, prayed mightily for deliverance, but with a secret reserve—"not yet Lord, not just yet!"

Many and many a soul has cried like him and deserved like him a "not yet Lord" and these souls, so near to the Kingdom that the long and terrible agony had almost yielded to the sweet soothings of reconciliation, have been heard and the "not yet—not just yet," has been stereotyped never!

For these reasons you must do away with that slip on my paper; or I must do away with my conscience, or I must continue a species of dishonesty that as a Christian man I cannot indulge in, or I must send you my subscription; so here is so much to "the conscience fund."

Yours in hope of relief.

For the Free Christian Commonwealth.

Prof. Fisher, on account of impaired health, tendered to the Board his resignation, which was absolutely refused by the Board. As expressive of their high appreciation of the great sacrifice this gentleman has made, and is willing still to make, for the College, the Board passed a series of resolutions granting Prof. Fisher leave of absence from his duties for one year, with the continuation of his salary and five hundred dollars additional to defray any expense he may incur in travelling for his health, with the request also that he act as agent for the College in soliciting funds to enlarge the endowment of the institution, and inducing students to come hither to be educated.

The resignation of Prof. Fisher, accompanied by these resolutions, testifying as they do to his great worth as a man and instructor in the College, was respectfully returned to him, with the earnest request that he remain with the College, and a hope that after the absence of a year his shattered health may then be so repaired that he will be able to resume active duties in the class-room.

The friends of this institution, now since peace has been restored to our borders, are once more aroused to the necessity of founding upon a permanent basis a first class College for the great West, and they have determined to put Westminster beyond the reach of disaster. They have already begun this work, and by the blessing and fostering care of the Great Head of the Church, they will succeed.

To the honorable Presbyterians of Kentucky I would say that, while the College at Danville is still in a state of doubt, while its energies and strength are gone, they can find in Fulton a most delightful society for their sons to mingle in, and in the College, in every feature, every advantage to make them men of strength and usefulness. Here they will find a community that is noted for its freedom from vice and its high standard of piety and intelligence, and any man will find it a blessing to himself and his family to send his son to this institution.

For the Free Christian Commonwealth.
Not Yet.

St. Augustine in fierce struggles, under conviction of sin, still clinging to the sins he felt he could not give up, prayed mightily for deliverance, but with a secret reserve—"not yet Lord, not just yet!"

Many and many a soul has cried like him and deserved like him a "not yet Lord" and these souls, so near to the Kingdom that the long and terrible agony had almost yielded to the sweet soothings of reconciliation, have been heard and the "not yet—not just yet," has been stereotyped never!

It is vain to say that good has resulted from the use of empirical measures of any kind. This remains to be proved. A faithful induction of facts on this subject, as the writer humbly believes, would be startling and melancholy. Cases of conversion do occur, no doubt, in spite of extravagances and unwarranted means, for the Eternal is sovereign, and can make the very wrath of man to praise him; but if God's plan were carried out in a meek and submissive spirit, the divine agent would always demonstrate by the results the infinite superiority of the simple gospel over every measure of human devising.

Besides, any encroachment in this direction is dangerous. For if one unauthorized measure can be introduced, so may another; and when we place our feet upon the first round of this descent, there is no obstruction to the very bottom. If one man uses "anxious seats" and the "mourner's bench" why may not another introduce both fiddle and banjo? And if A adds appendages to the preached word, why cannot B be permitted to bring in four supplements to baptism or the Lord's Supper? It will therefore be seen at once that if a precedent of the nature described be admitted at any point, the field lies open for the introduction of every experiment that folly shall suggest.

"Ostia principis" is a maxim of true wisdom. The confessional, image worship, extremeunction, the real presence, together with other gigantic superstitions, are all the outgrowth of the first human invention engraven upon the divine commission. The first departure might have been apparently insignificant; but a wrong principle once admitted, and the pathway leads direct to Rome or infidelity, or anywhere else that folly and presumption choose to go.

Century after century adds its wood, hay, and stubble, until the solid foundations are covered up and disappear altogether. Nothing now but fire and flood—a convulsive reformation, with its Luthers and Calvines—can move away the drift and bring to view clearly the elementary basis. We ought therefore to oppose error at its fountain head, and every effort, from whatever pretext, to obscure the sure foundations, should be sternly rebuked and inexorably resisted. The only safety for the church in this or any other age is in cleaving to the old paths which are revealed in

the Scriptures. To the law and the testimony—thus far can we safely go and no farther.

"The hearts of parents must be turned to their children, and those precious hours, consumed hitherto in frivolity and self indulgence, must be devoted hereafter perseveringly to Christian nurture in the household. The present 'distress' in Church and State had its origin in the nursery. For the sake of ease or covetousness, offspring are handed over to mercenary teachers or else turned out at large, or by some other process deprived of that entertainment and sound instruction in the home circle so

Free Christian Commonwealth

LOUISVILLE, KY., THURSDAY, JULY 2, 1868.

Rev. STUART ROBINSON, Editor.
Rev. GEO. O. BARNES, Co-Editor.

DAVIDSON & ROBINSON, Publishers

The Debate on Union with the United Presbyterians in the Free Church Assembly.

In the Edinburg *Daily Review* of May 29 and 30, the great debate on union with the United Presbyterians in the Free Church General Assembly on the 28th of May is reported in some twenty-eight closely packed columns. Finding it impossible to present even a sketch of the arguments of the several speakers, which would be intelligible to our readers, as we first intended, within our brief limits, we shall endeavor to present a summary of the state of the question, and the views taken of it on either side.

The movement for a union between the Free Church and the United Presbyterians of Scotland was inaugurated some five years ago, by the appointment of a Joint Committee with a programme of questions to be considered by it under several distinct heads, as of the doctrinal basis of union, the financial arrangements, the property titles, &c. Taking up these several heads in their order, the Union Committee report to their two Assemblies on one topic at a time, and ask to be continued with a view to a consideration of the remaining topics. Last year the Committee reported, and the Free Church Assembly took action approving so far the doctrinal basis agreed upon. This action of the General Assembly excited opposition, even to threats of secession on the part of a large body in the Free Church who cling to the notion of State support to the Church. And we supposed that the negotiations for union were already virtually a failure. The Joint Committee, however, calmly proceeded with their work, and at the recent meeting of the General Assembly presented their report on the third head of the programme, viz., the question of arranging for the sustentation of the ministry, the Free Church having created a large common fund out of which all their ministers draw a certain portion of their stipend. While in the United Presbyterian Church no such fund exists, and of course the ministers of that Church could not come in now for a share of the Free Church sustentation fund. On this subject the committee reported to the late Assembly, that a satisfactory arrangement had been agreed upon in the Joint Committee. Dr. Buchanan, the Free Church "covenanter" (chairman) of the committee, according to Scottish usage, moved an expression of satisfaction by the Assembly with what had been done, of encouragement so far to prosecute the union negotiations, and recommending to their ministers and people to cultivate kindly feelings toward the United Presbyterian and Reformed Churches, &c.

This motion Dr. Buchanan introduced with an elaborate speech in review of the whole subject, aiming therein to mollify the asperity of feeling and to quiet the fears of the anti-union men which had been excited by the action of the previous Assembly. After showing that both the United and Reformed Presbyterian Churches had shown a disposition to concession on the subject of finances which might shame the Free Church men, he proceeded to show that the question to be settled first was the question of principle, and the Free Church could never listen to appeals founded on base fear or baser selfishness. He sketched the prospect of a great Presbyterian confederation, which shall include the Free, United, Reformed Presbyterian Churches of Scotland, the Presbyterian Church of England, and the Presbyterian Church of Ireland, thus approximating to the glorious idea of our covenanting fathers. As to the present stage of the great union question, he declared what he understood to be the import and effect of the resolution of last Assembly. He understood that resolution to be no final adjudication in any case, or by any person, on the point to which it referred. They retained the fullest liberty to give the point which, as then advised, they thought no bar to union, a place, if they should see cause, among the reasons for which they might oppose the union. The Reformed Churches, in framing their confessions, have with one consent left the question of Establishments outside. What the Reformed confessions have done with regard to Establishments is precisely what the resolution of last Assembly asked the Church to do. It seemed to him incredible and impossible that with any considerable number of ministers and elders the approval of civil Establishments could ever be insisted on as a term of communion in the United Church. Christ had not set up that term of communion in his Church, and would man dare to do it? As little did their standards or formulas commit them to the lawfulness of Establishments. Upon this they are absolutely silent. The union party are not innovators. It is the anti-union party who wish to impose a term of communion heretofore unknown.

Dr. Charles J. Brown, hoping that

brethren on the opposite side would accept the kind, conciliatory, and wise propositions of Dr. Buchanan, seconded cordially his resolutions. But Dr. Julius Wood immediately brought forward a motion to the effect that, in reappointing the committee, the Assembly should declare that no formal deliverance was given by last Assembly on the second head of the programme, relating to "any other matter of doctrine"—that the resolution of last Assembly does not bind the Church; and that the Church holds the lawfulness and obligation of a scriptural union with the State. The peace of the Free Church was seriously broken. This alarm and anxiety had been profoundly increased by what he had heard from Dr. Buchanan. It was not enough to be cautious; they must be on the right line, and guided by the right principles. Let them carry on the negotiations on right Free Church principles, and that they were bound to it by the Confession of Faith, which he proved by the third section of the twenty-third chapter.

Captain Shepherd was one of those who retired from the Union Committee. He denied that he and his friends had any share in this crisis. They had been appealed to return to it. Let them repeal the resolution of the Assembly and he would consider of it.

Dr. Henry Moncrieff, to whom Dr. Buchanan made over his right of reply, exposed some of the statements of Dr. Begg, especially as to Dr. Rainy. Of that statement he fully showed the fallacy. He animadverted upon the views of Sheriff Galbraith and insisted upon their egregiously fallacious character.

Sheriff Galbraith seconded Dr. Wood's motion. The issue on which they would vote was, Were they prepared to declare that they were willing to form a portion of a Voluntary Church? Dr. Wood's motion related to two things—the matter of doctrine, and the question of the civil magistrate. Of the former he would say little, but there was no hope of a compromise under the second.

Dr. Raney, who is evidently one of the rising young men of the Free Church, proceeded, in a speech of great force in support of Dr. Buchanan's motion, to show that nothing was more perilous to the peace of the Church than these threats of disruption with a view to bar discussion. The principle of State connection with the Church (about which these brethren differed with the United Presbyterians) must be a matter of forbearance.

Rev. Mr. Waters, of Burghead, declared that if they had been told in 1843 that the Assembly would tolerate such views as those of Dr. Buchanan and Dr. Raney touching the relation of the State to the Church, he and his congregation would have remained in the Establishment, or joined the Old Light Seceders. They had come out on the Establishment principle, and to adopt the proposed union would be a breach of faith with him and such as he.

Rev. Mr. Williamson, of Asecog, maintained that the minority were not opposed to union, but only to union on principles that will take back the testimony of the disruption era (1843) and of the Second Reformation (1848) touching the obligation of the State to acknowledge Jesus as king and to maintain true religion.

Mr. Charles Cowan, Edinburg, declared that if to be a Voluntary, a character so much denounced, was to hold that the Church should be supported by the free will offerings of the people, then he boldly avowed himself a Voluntary, while he maintained the duty of the civil government to be subject to the Lord Jesus as stoutly as Dr. Wood or Dr. Begg.

At this stage of the debate, Dr. Thomas Smith proposed a compromise resolution, which, while in his judgment it went further than Dr. Buchanan's, yet appeared to his friends to make concessions; and urged his friends who had withdrawn from the joint committee on account of the action of the Assembly last year to return to it. He feared the spirit of disruption.

Mr. White, of Hadlington, regretted these allusions to a disruption. He thought it a sin not to unite the Churches, but at the same time recognized the important function of resistance and opposition. He did not complain that the minority had opposed the action of the last Assembly, but that they should have organized themselves as a faction against that of the Assembly. As to the alarm in the Church, he thought it existed only among the alarmists themselves. As to the principle of Church Establishments, it is simply the Headship of Christ over the civil government or nations, in which doctrine we thoroughly agree with them. But they fallaciously reason from that premise to the conclusion that therefore the nation (civil government) must acknowledge as a civil government the Lord Jesus, and be the nursing mother of his visible church. Whereas the nations, as such, have not had given to them the revealed law of Christ as their rule of faith, nor have the nations the promise of his special presence in the national councils to interpret that revealed word. The revelation has been given to his church and the promise also of the special presence of Christ in the councils of the church. The rule of faith to the nation, as such, is the ethical law of God as revealed by the light of nature, and is common therefore, as the old Scotch fathers maintained, to Christian and heathen nations alike. To maintain that the civil government is to recognize Christ and his church, is to maintain the right and competency of the State to interpret that law, and to force its interpretations on the consciences of men.

Dr. Candlish replied to Dr. Gibson. He did object and complain that it should be imputed to him that he was willing to make any matter of doctrine to which he had set his hand

an open question. What they proposed to make an open question of was not settled in the confession of Faith. If it was settled there, let Dr. Gibson prove it. They could not be held bound by inferences from statements in the Confession of Faith, but only by the statements themselves. Apart from the proof-texts, and apart from mere inferences, there was nothing in the Confession of Faith that amounted to an assertion of the doctrine of civil Establishments. He referred to the Disruption testimony, which was simply a testimony for the freedom and independence of the Church.

Dr. Begg supported the motion of Dr. Wood. He had no assurance that the Articles of Agreement were adopted by the United Presbyterian Synod. He argued that the principle of Establishments was a principle of the Free Church, and that they were bound to it by the Confession of Faith, which he proved by the third section of the twenty-third chapter.

Captain Shepherd was one of those who retired from the Union Committee. He denied that he and his friends had any share in this crisis. They had been appealed to return to it. Let them repeal the resolution of the Assembly and he would consider of it.

Dr. Henry Moncrieff, to whom Dr. Buchanan made over his right of reply, exposed some of the statements of Dr. Begg, especially as to Dr. Rainy. Of that statement he fully showed the fallacy. He animadverted upon the views of Sheriff Galbraith and insisted upon their egregiously fallacious character.

Sheriff Galbraith seconded Dr. Wood's motion. The issue on which they would vote was, Were they prepared to declare that they were willing to form a portion of a Voluntary Church? Dr. Wood's motion related to two things—the matter of doctrine, and the question of the civil magistrate. Of the former he would say little, but there was no hope of a compromise under the second.

This is, in the nature of the case, a most meagre account of one of the ablest debates we have read for a long time. Our readers must understand we give little more than the subjects of discourse of each speech; that these subjects were presented with all the power of very powerful men, in words the report of which fills twenty-eight columns, packed, as British papers pack their columns; that these speeches were made to a vast and excited crowd of ministers, elders, students and educated men and women, amid storms of cheers and hisses, so furious as at last to compel the Moderator to declare that *members of the Assembly only were entitled to clap, cheer and hiss!*

That the vote of more than three to one in favor of going on with the negotiations for union was taken at one o'clock in the morning, after a session with brief recess, of probably some fourteen hours.

It will be perceived from the points

of controversy made in the discussion,

that in so far as there is any parallel

between the re-union movement in

Scotland and that in the United States,

the United Presbyterian Church is

somewhat in the position of the Old

School, and the Free Church in the

position of the New School. But in the

Scottish controversy there is no doctrinal issue involved, except that arising under the original twenty-third

chapter of the Confession of Faith

concerning the sphere and duties of

the civil magistrate; which chapter

the American Presbyterians of both

Schools have materially modified. Of

the wisdom of that modification, striking out any recognition of the civil

magnitude, or State, as having any

thing to do with the Church, no Ameri-

can Presbyterian can doubt, when

perceiving how, under the influence

of that old error of the Confession, the

eyes of our brethren in Scotland, who

see in all other matters as we see, and

whom experience has shown that the

tree gifts of the Lord's people are the

only safe dependence of the Church,

are still "helden," that they cannot see what to us is so palpable a truth, that the recognition of any sort of de-

pendence of the Church upon the State, involves ultimately the corruption and spiritual ruin of the Church. The great

doctrinal difficulty in the minds of

these Scottish brethren seems to us to

lie in one fallacy. They lay down the

premise of the Headship of Christ over

the civil government or nations, in

which doctrine we thoroughly agree

with them. But they fallaciously reason

from that premise to the conclusion

that therefore the nation (civil

government) must acknowledge as a

civil government the Lord Jesus, and

be the nursing mother of his visible

church. Whereas the nations, as such,

have not had given to them the revealed

law of Christ as their rule of

faith, nor have the nations the promise

of his special presence in the national

councils to interpret that revealed

word. The revelation has been given

to his church and the promise also of

the special presence of Christ in the

councils of the church. The rule of

faith to the nation, as such, is the ethical

law of God as revealed by the light

of nature, and is common therefore, as

the old Scotch fathers maintained,

to Christian and heathen nations alike.

To maintain that the civil government

is to recognize Christ and his church,

is to maintain the right and competency

of the State to interpret that law,

and to force its interpretations on the

consciences of men.

Thus ended what seems to us a farce.

He did object and complain that it

should be imputed to him that he

was willing to make any matter of

doctrine to which he had set his hand

the day when the Free Church shall by union with them, gradually be purged of the heresy of church endowment. To the error of the 23d chapter on that subject, we are disposed to trace all the schisms and troubles of the Scotch church for two hundred years past.

The Albany Assembly's deliverance concerning the Border State Churches, as viewed in Missouri.

We are pleased to find the following sharp and manly criticism in the *Missouri Presbyterian*, on the action of the Albany Assembly, touching the Southern and Border State churches:

"We are at last enabled to present to our readers the full Report of the Special Commission on the Affairs of the South, and other papers, relating to the Church in the South and to the True Presbyterian Synods of Kentucky and Missouri.

As we understand it, it was at first proposed to give these memorials, &c., to the Committee on Bills and Overtures. But Dr. E. P. Humphrey, the chairman of that committee, had the good sense to decline having anything to do with the papers, inasmuch as he is involved in the controversy as well as we are.

The Special Commission was appointed and Rev. Dr. Nicoll was made the chairman. We have heard it rumored that in giving an account to his congregation of what was done in the Assembly, he avowed that he had desired not to accept this position, but that it was urged upon him so persistently that he was reluctantly compelled to serve.

We doubt not that he saw, at the time of his appointment, how indequate it was for him to be chairman, and we have just as little doubt that his people were surprised at his want of delicacy in consenting to serve on such a committee, and that they knew very well that the Assembly would have appointed some one in his stead, had he so requested, assigning as a reason, for wishing to be excused, the fact that he is himself so intimately concerned in the troubles to which the attention of the Assembly was called. We are not at all surprised. This is not the first time that the trickish Distractors have seized the position, and obtained it.

And the Assembly having gratified his ambition to be pre-eminent, we are certain that it were much better, had no action whatever been taken in regard to our troubles; for Dr. Nicoll's report will be just as inept as "the Pope's bull against the comet." Our brethren will pay no attention to it. The Jesuitry by which it seeks to inveigle us into recognizing the abominable *Gurley ipsa facta*, is entirely too transparent.

"Furthermore, we venture the suggestion that there is little prospect of remedying ecclesiastical difficulties in Missouri, so long as their adjustment is entrusted to a juvenile stranger of decided hydrocephalic development, who was sent here to foment discord and to reap the spoils, and who, underneath a mask of innocence, wears a bitter spirit of relentless, narrow-mindedness. We are sure, youth is no crime; but it is not apt to be over discreet and indiscreet made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent their boyhood's vigor and their manhood's prime, they still do valiantly for the cause; and indorsement made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent their boyhood's vigor and their manhood's prime, they still do valiantly for the cause; and indorsement made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent their boyhood's vigor and their manhood's prime, they still do valiantly for the cause; and indorsement made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent their boyhood's vigor and their manhood's prime, they still do valiantly for the cause; and indorsement made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent their boyhood's vigor and their manhood's prime, they still do valiantly for the cause; and indorsement made more glaring by the new comers' ignorance of affairs, looks very like down-right dishonesty, and thus confirms alienation and promotes the work of ruin. Some of our brethren are Missourians, "to the man born"—some of them are old men, gospel pioneers in this State, where, having spent

Free Christian Commonwealth

For the Free Christian Commonwealth.

Homily—No 10.

Job 25:4. "How then can man be justified with God."

We have already shown what is meant by the righteousness of Christ; that it is perfect; that it does become a sinner's, by imputation; and that it is imputed unto all that believe, and becomes the meritorious ground of their justification with God. As further evidence of these great truths, we may refer you to all the Scriptures which speak of the blood of Christ; blood being a figurative expression for the suffering he endured when he met the claims of the law, against his people, and purchased for them all the blessings of the gospel.

Hence it is said, "Without the shedding of blood there is no remission of sin."—Also Rom. 3:20, "Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation, through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God. To declare, I say, at this time his righteousness; that he might be just, and the justifier of him that believeth in Jesus."

Here the anger of God against sin is propitiated by the righteousness of Christ, and sin forgiven; and not only so, God as a just judge, gives the law all it demands when he justifies him that believeth in Jesus.

See also such passages as these: Acts xx:28, "Feed the church of God, which he hath purchased with his blood." 1 John 1:7, "The blood of Jesus Christ, his Son, cleanseth us from all sin." Rev. 1:7, "washed us from our sins in his own blood"—"drink my blood."

In all such expressions the imputation of Christ's righteousness, as a ground of justification with God, is clearly implied. And the same may be said of such Scriptures as these, Tit. 2:14, "Who gave himself for us, that he might redeem us from all iniquity."

Isa. 54:10, "Their righteousness is of me, saith the Lord." Math. 6:33, "Seek ye first the kingdom of God and his righteousness"—Rom. 5:19.

"By the obedience of one shall many be made righteous." Having now seen what is meant by the righteousness of Christ, that it becomes a sinner's, and is the meritorious ground of his justification with God, this interesting question remains, how is this righteousness to be obtained?

We answer, that we obtain the righteousness of Christ by a living faith. The righteousness of Christ is the ground of our justification; and faith the instrument or means, by which it is obtained. This is obvious from the following Scriptures, Rom. 3:20 & 25, "Seeing it is one God which shall justify the circumcision (Jew) by faith, and the uncircumcision (Gentile) through faith."

"Therefore we conclude that a man is justified by faith, without the deeds of the law;" that is, not by works, Rom. 5:1, "therefore being justified by faith we have peace with God." Gal. 3:8, 13 & 14, "And the Scripture foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through faith &c"—"the just shall live by faith"—"the law was our schoolmaster to bring us unto Christ, that we might be justified by faith." See also Gal. 2:16, Romans 3:22 & 9:30; Phil. 3:9, Acts 13:39. We conclude then, that faith is the instrument or means, and the righteousness of Christ, the ground of our justification with God. Faith receives this righteousness, rests upon it as its only hope, and presents it to the law as payment in full of its entire claim.

The object of Christ's mission, and the retrospective and prospective bearing of his righteousness, show conclusively, that the hope of believer cannot fail. He came from heaven not to do his own will, but the will of the Father who sent him, and the will of his Father was, that of all he had given him, he should lose none. On this will and mission is based the covenant of redemption, recorded in the 53d chapter of Isaia.

In this wonderful covenant, between God the Father and God the Son, the Son engages to "make his soul an offering for sin," for which, as an equivalent, the Father engages that "he (the Son) shall see the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied."

Owing to the character of the covenanting parties, it was absolutely certain that the conditions of this covenant would be performed; consequently multitudes were saved upon the ground of a stipulated redemption, long before the redeeming sacrifice was offered.

Here, then, we discover the firm foundation upon which the people of God rested for salvation, from the fall to the crucifixion of Christ. They differed from us in this respect: they looked forward with the eye of faith through a dark future to God the Son, who as a Saviour, would in due time according to his covenant engagement, appear for the redemption of his people. We, on the contrary, look back through a blaze of gospel light to the same Redeemer, and with the distinctness almost of vision, see him fulfilling his covenant engagement with God the Father. That his

soul was the sacrifice offered, is fully established by his bloody sweat, wrestling prayer and indescribable anguish in the garden, and his bitter cries and unutterable agony upon the cross. And that the sacrifice was accepted, is indisputably established by his triumph in death, resurrection from the dead and ascension to glory.

The hopes then of a believer are based upon the covenant of an immutable God, and are as stable as his eternal throne. When, therefore, a sinner is justified with God, all of his sins both retrospective and prospective, are included; the claim of the law for both past and future offences is satisfied; and he stands forth, clothed in the perfect righteousness of Jesus Christ, and in law as righteous and safe as Christ himself. In answer to the question, "How then can man be justified with God?" we say, believe on the Lord Jesus Christ, that his perfect righteousness may be imputed unto you, and become the ground of your justification with God.

But it may be asked, how am I to obtain this faith? We answer, that while the exercise of faith is a weightier matter of the law, the operations of the Holy Spirit which enable man to believe, is a gift or grace. Hence it is said, Eph. 2:8, "For by grace are ye saved, through faith; and that not of yourselves; it is the gift of God."

Man must believe, but God must enable him to believe, and this enabling power or grace of God is just as man must repent, or live, (for God does not repent, or live for him) but God must give him repentance or life. 1st Cor. 3:5 "When then is Paul, and who is Apollos? but ministers by whom ye believed, even as the Lord gave to every man."

The Corinthians believed; but they believed as the Lord gave to every man grace or power to believe; and even with the Apostle it was, "Lord I believe, help thou my unbelief."

And in Romans 12:3, Christians are enjoined "to think soberly, according as God hath dealt to every man that believes, God then gives, increases and perfects faith in every believer. Hence we are required to run the Christian race, "Looking unto Jesus, the author and finisher of our faith." We have now learned that the righteousness of Christ is the ground of our justification; faith, the instrument or means by which it is received, and that faith is the gift of God.

It is obvious therefore, that if faith is of God we must go to God for it; and this we may do with confidence if we desire it now, for God has given to every man a consciousness of free agency; and has rolled, as far as man is concerned, the salvation of every man upon his own will. Hence God has promised, six different times, under six different aspects, to answer prayer. "Ask and ye shall receive, seek and ye shall find, knock and it shall be opened unto you. For every one that asketh receiveth, and he that knocketh, findeth, and to him that knocketh, it shall be opened."

These promises to prayer are not confined to Christians, but extend to every man who has an honest heart and proper desire. For prayer is the offering up of the desires of the heart unto God, for things agreeable to his will, in the name of Christ. Faith is agreeable to the will of God, for he commands you to believe and his will harmonizes with his command. That you are not a Christian and are not under conviction, do not exclude you if you have the desire. Peter directed Simon, the sorcerer, to pray; and so may I direct you, Cornelius and Saul prayed and were heard before they were Christians; and so may it be with you. A sincere heart and proper desire for a present blessing are the only prerequisites. God rolls them, as far as prayer is concerned, the salvation of every man upon his own will. And with this glorious truth accord all of the gospel invitations. Take one example, "The spirit and the bride say come, and let him that heareth, say come, and let him that is athirst come, and whosoever will, let him take the water of life freely." Here God invites whosoever wishes, wants, or desires now, all the glorious blessings of the gospel, to take the water of life freely; not only without price, but abundantly. The only prerequisite is a desire to have the blessing now. It is not whether you have a hard or a soft heart, much feeling, little feeling, or no feeling; but do you desire the blessing now? If not, why quarrel with God if you are not saved? If you desire God, if Christ assures you six different times, that if you will seek it by prayer, you shall obtain it, and it is an old adage, "If a thing is not worth having, we have no answer to the question, "How then can man be justified with God?" have led you to Christ, and leave you with his promises to pray, and invitations, ringing in your ears. J. T.

The Infidel Answered.

A few months since, a well known minister of the Presbyterian Church delivered a series of discourses against Infidelity, in a town in Louisiana, on the Red River, some of the citizens of which were known to be skeptical. A few days afterward he took passage in a steamer ascending the Mississippi, and found on board several of the citizens of that town, among whom was a disciple of Tom Paine, noted as the ringleader of a band of infidels. So soon as he discovered the minister, he commenced his horrid blasphemies; and when he perceived him reading at one of the tables, he proposed to his companions to go with him to the opposite side of the table and listen to some stories that he had to tell upon religious and religious men, which he said would annoy the old preacher quite a number, prompted by curiosity.

Now, Charles, it is time for us to go into the parlour, for family prayer. I hope that God will give you his grace, and help you to overcome all bad passions. You will not forget that if you yield to evil, not fine weather, nor the singing of birds, nor kind friends, nor health, nor money, nor any other thing can make you happy. But if you have peace with God by believing in our Lord Jesus Christ, and trusting and loving him, then you will be at peace with yourself and with all around you. Seek this peace in the

first place; praying to God to give you the Holy Spirit for Christ's sake; and then, though you may have troubles in life, you shall enjoy that happiness and comfort which nothing else can give."

The Bible Printers.

Did you ever think of the great mercy you now enjoy in having a cheap Bible in your own language? Before the art of printing was known it took much more than a man could earn in a whole year to buy a Bible. Now a poor man as well as a rich merchant may have one for tenpence, or a New Testament for fourpence.

There are many lands now in the same dark state as England was in former times. But missionaries have gone to them, and have worked hard to give the holy word to the people. You shall hear about some of these Bible printers, in one of the South Sea Islands.

These servants of God got from England a printing press, and type, and paper, and soon set to work. When the natives found what was going on, they ran to the place. There they were in crowds every day, blocking up the door, sitting by the windows, or climbing on the backs of others, all eager to get a look at what was going on, and crying out, "O Britain, land of skill!"

The noise and interest were like as it is in England when a balloon is to go up for the first time, or a new railroad is to be opened. "When will the books be ready?" they cried. "We will give cocoa-nut oil for a book."

One evening, as the sun was setting in gold and purple clouds on the sea, there came a canoe with five men in it, from another island. They pulled down the mat which served for a sail, jumped on shore, and ran quickly to the Bible printers. "What is it you have come for?" they were asked.

"Luka, or Te Parau na Luka," Luke or the Word of Luke, was the reply. They then held up large bamboo canes filled with cocoa-nut oil, which they had brought to pay for the books. They were told none were ready, but if they would come in the morning, they should have them.

Night came on, and the Bible printers went to rest. In the morning they looked out of the window, and there they saw the five men lying along the ground, their only bed being a few leaves.

"Why did you not go and find a lodging somewhere? Why have you lain out of doors all this cold night?" they were asked. "Oh," they said, "we were afraid if we had gone away some one might have come before us in the morning, and all the books would have been gone."

"When I was ready to go to school, I could not find my History, because Mary had taken it to look at the pictures."

"Yes, Charles, and I am sorry to say you left home in a naughty temper. How did you get on at school?"

"Why, mother I lost my place at the top of the class. George Jones spelt a word which I could not. And you should have seen how proud he was of it! Then as I was coming home, my cousin William knocked my hat off."

"Perhaps he only did it in play. Did he not pick it up again?"

"Yes, and said that he did not mean any harm."

"Why, then, did you look so cross when you came home? You know I saw you sitting in the garden with quite a sullen look; you were pulling some flowers to pieces, and treading them under your feet. My dear Charles, you have let evil passions dwell in your heart; and if you do so, you can not hope to be happy. Does not this show you that your heart is evil, and that you need the grace of the Holy Spirit to cleanse and renew it? Do you not feel that you have sinned, and should ask God to pardon you, for the sake of Jesus Christ our Lord?

Charles' mother now kissed him. As he lay down that night to rest, he thought he would try to be the morrow a better and happier boy.

The next night, when Charles was in the garden looking at the setting sun, his mother gently said, "I think my Charles has been more happy today than he was yesterday."

"Yes, mother," he said, "I got up early, and it did not seem near so hard as when I lay and thought about it. I had time to pray, and came into the garden, and had a run round all the walks.

The birds were singing so very sweetly; I never heard them sing better; the flowers were very nice to smell; and the air seemed so fresh and pleasant."

"The boy who lies in bed," said his mother, "is not the boy to find out these things. Then, I think, you had time to look over the school lessons of the day."

"Oh yes, and I was in good time to have my breakfast along with the rest, and my run in the garden made me enjoy it too. Then I was early at school, with my lessons all ready. When we were in class, George Jones was very near misspelling a word, but I thought if he lost his place he would feel as bad as I did, and so I waited a moment, and he spelt it right. In coming home one of the boys tried to vex me, but I knew it would be wrong to be angry, so I took no notice of what he did. When he slipped in running, I went to him and helped him to get up."

Let us be thankful to may read the Bible every day—

"Tis God's own Word, which he has given To guide us in the way to heaven."

MIXTURE OF RACES AND RELIGION.

As illustrating the mixture of races and religions in New York, it is said that the Rev. Thomas W. Hughes once found a "family in a seven-by-nine cellar in the Fourth Ward, where the Catholic wife was counting her beads, and saying her prayers on her knees before a picture of the Virgin, while the Chinese husband was pronouncing his Pagan deity with offers of rice, administered with chopsticks, and the two little children of this strangely assorted pair were singing a mission song—

"Jesus loves me, this I know, For the Bible tells me so."

To dear to the quarrelsome, to the scorners, and dumb to those who are mischievously inquisitive.

VALUABLE SCHOOL AND COLLEGE TEXT-BOOKS,

PUBLISHED BY SHELDON & CO., NEW YORK.

BULLIONS' SELECTIONS OF GRAMMARS AND CLASSICS.

COMMON SCHOOL GRAMMAR; being an introduction to Analytical English Grammar. 50cts.

ANALYTICAL AND PRACTICAL ENGLISH GRAMMAR, with a complete and concise system of Analysis, and a complete system of Composition. \$1.

EXERCISES IN ANALYSIS AND PARSING. 25cts.

PRINCIPLES OF LATIN GRAMMAR. \$1.50.

LATIN READER. With an improved Vocabulary, and references to both Latin Grammars.

EXERCISES IN LATIN COMPOSITION. Adapted to the Latin Grammar. \$1.50. Key, separate, for teachers only. 60cts.

CEASAR'S COMMENTARIES. \$1.50.

THE INTUITIONS OF THE MIND. " 300.

THE CHRIST OF HISTORY. By Rev. John Young 150.

ECCLES. DEUS. 150.

ECCLES. DOMUS. 150.

THE CHRIST OF THE APOSTLES. By Dr. Scott. 300.

EXTEMPORAL PREDICING. By F. E. Zincke. 150.

BINGHAM'S ANTIQUITIES OF CHRISTIAN CHURCH. 2 vols.

THEORIES OF RADICALISM. By Rev. J. F. Hurst. 120.

HORSE'S INTRODUCTION. 300.

BUSH'S ILLUSTRATIONS OF THE SCRIPTURES. 275.

KITTO'S CYCLOPEDIA OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE. 20 vols.

CYCLOPEDIA OF RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE. 600.

EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY (UNIVERSITY OF V.) 300.

KITTO'S DAILY BIBLE ILLUSTRATIONS. 8 vols. 1400.

COMMENTARIES. 100.

LANGE ON MATTHEW. 2 vols. 500.

" MARK AND LUKE. 100.

" ACTS. 50.

" JAMES, PETER, JOHN, AND JUDE. 50.

HENRY'S COMMENTARY. 5 vols. 250.

SCOTT'S COMMENTARY. 3 vols. 100.

CLARK'S COMMENTARY. 6 vols. 240.

OLIVER'S COMMENTARY. 6 vols. 200.

BARNES' NOTES ON THE NEW TESTAMENT. 11 vols. 150.

JACOBUS' NOTES. 5 vols. (per vol.) 125.

ACTS. 100.

ROBINSON'S COMMENTARY. 5 vols. 250.

ROBINSON'S COMMENTARIES ON THE BIBLE. 250.

ROBINSON'S COMMENTARIES ON THE BIBLE. 250.

ROBINSON'S COMMENTARIES ON THE BIBLE. 250.

ROBIN